

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/446,783	05/16/2000	NEIL P. DESAI	VPHAR1460-2	2878
7590 10/02/2002 STEPHEN E. REITER			EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER P. O. BOX 80278			DEWITTY, ROBERT M	
	CA 92138-0278		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616 DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
:	09/446,783	SOON-SHIONG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
omoc , cae, cama,	Robert M DeWitty	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	pears on the cover sl	heet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply			Į				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 136(a). In no event, however eply within the statutory minimulated will apply and will expire SIX	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{2}$							
	This action is non-fina						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice unde	wance except for forner er Ex parte Quayle, 19	nal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	the application						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 29-35 and 46-93 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
•	TAWIT HOTH CONSIDERAL						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-35 and 46-93</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	Joi election requirem						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected	d to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	The state of the priority decuments have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	oriority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 1 list of the certified co	ve been received in this National Stage 7.2(a)). pies not received.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).).				
a) The translation of the foreign language	provisional application	on has been received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 29-35 and 46-93 are pending in the instant application.

Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's Request for Continued Examination filed

4/8/02. Claims 53-65 are withdrawn from further consideration, as stated in the Office

Action mailed 3/13/01, as being drawn to non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Claims 29-35, 46-51, and 66-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification teaches the use of paclitaxel, Capxol, and Taxol, but does not state the use of "Taxanes" in the instant invention. Whereas Applicant has asserted that the specification at page 22, line 12 teaches such limitation, the examiner was not able to locate such teaching. The examiner notes that the specification is a copy of WO 99/00113.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 29-35 and 46-93 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 17-18, 31-36 of U.S. Patent No. 6,096,331. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Patent **331 is drawn to a method of administering taxane in the range from 30 mg/m² over an administration time of less than 3 hours, as well as a formulation of taxane for the treatment of primary tumors. The claims of **331 are not drawn to administration using medical hardware, but the specification teaches the formulation is injected (see example 3). Further, the specification teaches, in the formulation, the size of the particles in the colloidal suspension can be from 20 nm to 8 microns (col. 6, lines 7-9).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-34, 46-51, 66-93 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/446,783

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M DeWitty whose telephone number is 703-308-2411. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees can be reached on 703-308-4527. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7924 for regular communications and 703-308-7924 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

RMD

September 30, 2002

JOSE' G. DEES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1616